TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

January 24, 2002 LB 82

SENATOR BOURNE: Sure.

SENATOR STUHR: ... the custodial.

SENATOR BOURNE: You bet. What happens any time an individual is questioned, they have the right to basically remain silent, and I believe that's called the Miranda rights. And, well, what happened is that, when feasible and in good faith, law enforcement should record these individuals, including the fact that they were "Mirandized". Senator Bromm mentioned at suppression hearings, which occur as a matter of course in criminal proceedings and it's not an unusual event, as an attorney you're obligated to defend your client zealously, I believe is the word, and I can tell you that in criminal proceedings involving felonies and that, as a matter of course there's a suppression hearing. So you're not going to see a rash of increased suppression hearings. I just want to make that clear and I apologize if I'm taking some of your time. My light is on and I'll give you that. But, again, the purpose of the language of the bill is, when feasible and in good faith, law enforcement should record not only the confession but the fact that they were "Mirandized" and that would save the defendant from saying...it would end the he said, she said, to speak, that they were in fact "Mirandized", read their rights, told what they could or could not do.

SENATOR STUHR: Thank you. I, at this time, I just feel that this is another unfunded mandate that is going to be...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR STUHR: ...placed upon law enforcement. I have not heard anything positive from any of my law enforcement within my district and I believe that the law is well-defined right now and I do not believe that we need a change. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Senator Janssen, on the Bruning amendment.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would give the rest of my time to Senator Bromm.